G R S App F IX 4]

1947 - 48 (Mul)

File No 10-47

Serial Nos ~~48~~ 67, 68

Previous References

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for

SUBJECT

Representation of Mr A Manappa a Special Amildar, Kethamarana halli Survey work for grant of increment in Grade 150 - 25/2 - 250 while he was P.A. to the Comm^r

L 14471 dt 14.3.48 from Addl Collector

To the Rev. Comm^r in Mysore.

States that the request of Mr K Manappa is inadmissible

15885 1662 (1)

From the Revenue Board

A1 4776 dated 15-9-47

Forwarded for favourable consideration, the representation of Mr A Mariappa, Amildar, requesting for sanction of increments in grade Rs 150-25/2-250- while he was working as personal Assistant to the Municipal Commr. Bangalore City

2) Mr A Mariappa is seen to be a permanent I Division clerk of the General and Revenue Secretariat he is was promoted as acting Amildar from 13-5-40 He has been earning his usual increments in the Amildars Grade, with reference to his relative rank in the Civil list

3) Mr Mariappa's request is that his

✓

Service as personal Assistant ~~may~~ to the Municipal Commissioner may be taken into consideration, so as to entitle him to the benefit of increments from 13-5-42 and 13-5-44

3) In his letter no 111/4 A-D dated 24-10-45, the Comptroller to Govt expressed the opinion that the post of the personal Assistant to the Municipal Commissioner is not a terminal one and that Mr Mariappa can draw increments only as Auditor. This view was accepted by the Govt and

(Flag M) in letter no 4724/ml 10-45-234 of 22-3-46 the Municipal Comm was informed accordingly

4) In the present representation, Mr Mariappa has invited reference to Govt no. ~~No~~ Flag 'A' L 13/11-Jdl 10-45-282 dated 27-3-1947, ~~stating~~ drawing that the

pwd

1662

post of the personal Assistant to the Municipal Commissioner be treated as a temporary appointment and requests that his service as personal Assistant to the Municipal Commissioner be taken into consideration, for regulating his increments

5) The file with the Secretary to Govt, for further remarks

6) The financial secretary may be consulted in the matter

Revenue. for 3/10/47

3/10

Fin'l Secy 6/10

6/10/47

NCI (C 2502) M 6/10

Early 7/10/47

18/10/47 GAD

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE D O No Date 7/10/47 Special Section BANGALORE

P R Somasundaram

To 028 (a b) 2018-47

Comptroller to kindly examine whether in view of later Govt Order No 13111 M1 10-45-282 dated the 27th March 1947, the concession asked for by the official to count the service as Personal Assistant for regulating increments in grade Rs 150-25/2-250 could be granted

Comptroller, with compliments

14/10/47

U O No 316/GAD dated 7th January, 1948

Returned with compliments

8) On a reference from the Municipal Commissioner Bangalore, it was stated by this office that the post of the personal Assistant to the Commissioner could not be treated as a tenure appointment in view of the restrictions laid down in G O No L 9642-44 ML 1235-100 dated 19-6-1936 to the effect that only the last grade Amildar may be posted to this place on Rs 150 and changed every 3 years to keep down the cost and that a duty allowance of Rs 50 was granted to such an Amildar (vide T O letter No 111/GAD dated 29-10-45) Hence the officer was entitled to draw his increments in the cadre of Amildars, as they fell due and not in the post of the personal Assistant.

9 Now, Government in their letter No 13111 ML 10-45-282 dated 27-3-1947 to the President, City Municipality have held that the post of the Personal Assistant to the Commissioner is a tenure appointment In such a case Mr Nanjappa would be entitled to count his service in this post for purposes of increments in the grade 150-25/2-250 as stated in his application from the date of his assuming charge of the Personal Assistant's post viz , 13-4-1942 surrendering the Duty allowance of Rs 50 drawn by him as this allowance was not attached to the post of Personal Assistant to the Municipal Commissioner - vide G O No L 9163-6 ML 10-41-99 dated 28-3-1942

10) Irrespective of whether the post of the Personal Assistant to the Commissioner is treated as a tenure post or not, the officer cannot count his service as Acting Amildar from 13-5-1940 to 13-4-1942 for increments in that post since it does not count for purposes

Comptroller's Office

File No (5) 1662/41

of 194

Serial No

of earning increments in the cadre of Amildars itself, so long as he continued as "Acting" Amildar in that cadre He has to count the necessary period for earning increments only in the tenure appointment of Personal Assistant in which case his increment would have fallen due on 13-4-1944 whereas as Amildar he got it on 14/8/1943 much earlier i e , from the time he was made s p t in the Amildars' cadre counting his service as P A under Art 85 and 86 (a) of M S R

COMPTROLLER

8/1

11) I agree with the views of the Comptroller Rev Secy/re) 10.1.43

12/1

12) Submitted for orders

23/1 Dy Secy/Fin 13) The period of service as P A to the Municipal Commissioner from 13.4.42 has already counted for purpose of earning increment and the office has drawn Rs 175/- from 14.8.43 i e before the expiry of two years' service as P A

As Mr Mariappa wants

Note—Contn Sheet

X

·4556 / R&P 1162 / Inc

File No ____ of 194 ____ Comptroller's Office

Serial No. ____

his service before 1344 ~~also~~ to count for purposes of increment and as this service was rendered as auildar, the question may be examined and disposed of in the Revenue Branch 14 People will therefore be transferred to that Branch for further action

Rev Brs) for 29/1/142 — 7446/30/1

15) 8 / 24/2/142

Rev Brs)

6 2 us
m KRS

16) The views of the Comptr agreed to by the Finl Secy at para 10 r/c that the Hon'ble appa can not count 13 mos to 13 yrs acting service for passing for earning an increment of pay in the Auildari cadre, may be accepted and the Govt informed that his request cannot be complied with. BRS 2/2

17) Mr Kanappa's request is that his pay as P A to the

7

at Rs 175/- from 13/5/42

He cannot may be fixed taking into consideration his service as Af Asst from 13-5-1940 & pointed out by the Comptr. Had Hariappa would not have drawn any increment in the cadre of Asstts. for the service rendered by him as Af Asst He could only draw his substantive pay & allowances equal to 1/5 of the pay he would have drawn if he had held the Af appointment substantively As the salary fixed on this basis would have been far below the minimum pay of an Asst. he was allowed to draw Rs 150/- until he was made Spt There are many such cases of Af Asst Contd

4556/R

Owing to the Minimum Pay for several years, and any concession shown to Mr Hanappa will become a precedent for similar claims for Hariappa's similar claim, which is not admissible as per rules, may be rejected

RS 7 2 48

7:57 7/2

Rev Secy)

18) I agree -- In view of the opinion of the Finance Secy & the Com Secy the claim of Mr Mariappa is inadmissible & will have to be rejected

Minister (Rev & Agri)

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE AND PUBLIC WORKS
9 FEB 1948
No. 2374

S 9/2/48 KBS 9/2

S 16/2 KBS 16/2

If approved, the file will be returned to the Rel Branch KBS 18/2

KBS 19/2 (Refer para 15 supra)

1662

ml 12-35 (79,100)
10-35 - (233-234)
10-41 - (98, 99 154 155)

A draft letter is put up for appl

Rec'd Pay 23-41

Approved
8
25/2/48
Issue
for signature



To the Revenue Commissioner
L 14471 Dt 11-3-48

Copy to Municipal Commissioners
L 14472 Dt 11-3-48
Rejects the proposal

B

Office of the Special Amildar,
Kethamaranahalli Survey Work,
Dated 13th August 1947.

1662/m1
22-9-47

To

Sub-Division Officer,
Bangalore Sub-Division,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In submitting herewith my application regarding the sanction of increments to me while I was the Personel Assistant to the Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality, I request that the same may kindly be submitted to - Government through proper chanel

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Special Amildar

Gl. 3112/47-8

Office of the Special Amildar,
Kethamaranahalli Survey Work,
Dated 12th August 47

To
The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Through
The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to G.O No. Dated stating that my request to change the tenure of my appointment to S P T from 13-4-42 or to make me provisionally permanent under Art.64 of M S R for purposes of drawing my increments from 13-5-42 under Art.85 and 86 M S R, are inadmissible and cannot be complied with, I once again beg to bring the following facts to your kind consideration and favourable orders

I was appointed as an acting Amildar on 13-5-40 in grade Rs.150-25/2-250 While I was acting in this grade, I was appointed as Personel Assistant to the Municipal Commissioner in the same grade viz., 150-25/2-250 on 13-4-42 and continued in that post till about 3-4-1946 The post of the Personel Assistant being a tenure appointment in grade 150-25/2-250 I sent up a representation to the Municipal Commissioner to permit me to draw my 1st and 2nd increments from 13-5-42 and 13-5-44 respectively The Municipal Commissioner called for the opinion of the Comptroller in the matter who in his letter No.111 G A D Dated 29-10-45 stated that the appointment of the Personel Assistant was not a tenure appointment that the pay of the appointment was not fixed and hence my request to grant increments from the said dates was not admissible.

I submitted a representation in this connection to Government and my requests were negatived in Government letter No. Dated.

In this connection, I beg to bring to the kind

P T O

consideration of Government, para 7(a) of G.O No.L.13111-Ml.10-45-282 dated 27-3-47 in which Government have held that the post of the Personel Assistant to the Municipal Commissioner as a tenure a pointment in grade 150-25/2-250. Thus the post of the Personel Assistant to the Municipal Commissioner being a tenure appointment and no other officer had any ~~lean~~ lien on that appointment except myself from 13-4-42 to 3-4-46 in the said grade during which time I was holding that post, I am entitled to draw my increments raising my pay from 150 to 175 from 13-5-42 and 175 to 200 from 13-5-44 and 225/- from 13-5-46 under Art.85 and 86 M & R

Flag 'A

According to the vacancies existed in the Revenue Department, I was made S P T from 14-8-43 from which date I drew my 1st increment raising my pay to 175/- and 2nd increment from 14-8-45 raising my pay to Rs.200/-. Thus I have lost 1 year and 3 months of service put in by me as Amildar for purposes of drawing my increments. If my service as Personel Assistant to the Municipal Commissioner which is held to be a tenure appointment is taken into consideration my 1st, 2nd and 3rd increments in grade 150-25/2-250 will fall due on 13-5-42, 13-5-44 and 13-5-46 respectively. As my request is according to rules as explained above, I request that my case may kindly be considered sympathetically and favourable orders passed in the matter.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
V. Ramachandra
Special Amildar.

1586

MM 10-47 - 67 MC

Letter No A1 4776/46.47 dated 13-9-47

from the Revenue Commissioner Alleppey

to the Revenue Secretary to Govt

I have the honour to forward herewith for favourable consideration the representation of Mr A Manickam, Special Amildar, Kothamangalam Survey work, received with letter No S2 c 920/47-48 dated 8-9-47, from the Deputy Commissioner Trivandrum, requesting for the sanction of increments in grade Rs 150-25/2-250, while he was the personal Assistant to the Municipal

L 14471/4-7-48
ML 10-47-68

To

The Revenue Commr in Mysore
Bangalore

S

With reference to your letter no A1 4776 dated 15-8-47 forwarding for favourable consideration, the representation of Mr A Mariappa - Special Amildar - re the marrahalli Survey work, requesting ~~for the~~ sanction of increments in grade Rs 150-25-250 while he was working as personal Assistant to the Municipal Commr, Bangalore city, and for the grant of enhanced allowances in the said appointment, I am directed to state that irrespective of the fact whether the post of the personal Assistant to the Municipal Commr Bangalore city, is treated as a temporary post or not, the officer cannot count his service as Acting Amildar from 13-5-1940 to 13-4-42 for increments in that post, since it does not count for purposes of earning increments in the cadre of Amildars

b

itself, So long as he continued as Acting Amildar that cadre

He has to count the necessary service for earning increments only on the temporary appointment of personal Assistant in which case his increment would have fallen due on 13-4-1944, whereas as Amildar he got it on 14-8-1943 - much earlier i.e from the time he was while S P I in the Amildars cadre, counting his service as personal Assistant, under Articles 88 and 86(a) of T.S.R

In these circumstances the above requests of the Manager are inadmissible and cannot be complied with

Yours truly
25/2/48
for Revenue Secy

Memo No. [illegible] 11-3-48

Copy we to the Manager, Banaganapalle for information

By order
for Revenue Secy

Fr. 23-4-48